

TEORIA DEI SISTEMI (Systems Theory)

Prof. C. Manes, Prof. A. Germani

Some solutions of the written exam of January 13th, 2014

Problem 1. Consider a feedback control system with unit feedback gain, with the following transfer function in open-loop

$$W(s) = K \frac{10 - s}{(s - 1)^2(s + 1)}.$$

1. Draw the amplitude and phase Bode diagrams, and the polar diagram for $K = 1$;
2. Compute the denominator of the closed-loop transfer function;
3. Compute the number of poles with negative real part of the closed loop transfer function as a function of the gain $K \in (-\infty, \infty)$, using both the Nyquist criterion and the Routh criterion.

Let $\widetilde{W}(s)$ denote $W(s)$ for $K = 1$:

$$\widetilde{W}(s) = \frac{10 - s}{(s - 1)^2(s + 1)}$$

We consider the Bode plots and the polar plot of $\widetilde{W}(s)$. In Bode form we have

$$W(s) = K \widetilde{W}(s) = K 10 \frac{(1 - s/10)}{(1 - s)^2(1 + s)}$$

The low frequency gain is

$$K_0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \widetilde{W}(s) = 10 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |K_0|_{dB} = 20 \log_{10} 10 = 20 \text{ dB}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{W}(j\omega)| &= \frac{10 |1 - j\omega/10|}{|1 - j\omega|^2 |1 + j\omega|} \\ \langle \widetilde{W}(j\omega) \rangle &= \langle 1 - j\omega/10 \rangle - 2\langle 1 - j\omega \rangle - \langle 1 + j\omega \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $|j\omega - 1| = |j\omega + 1|$ and $\langle 1 - j\omega \rangle = -\langle 1 + j\omega \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{W}(j\omega)| &= \frac{10 |1 - j\omega/10|}{|1 + j\omega|^3} \\ \langle \widetilde{W}(j\omega) \rangle &= \langle 1 - j\omega/10 \rangle - \langle 1 - j\omega \rangle \end{aligned}$$

(the Bode plots and the Nyquist plot of the open loop transfer function are in the enclosed file).

The closed-loop transfer function is

$$W_{CL}(s) = \frac{K \widetilde{W}(s)}{1 + K \widetilde{W}(s)} = \frac{K \frac{10-s}{(s-1)^2(s+1)}}{1 + K \frac{10-s}{(s-1)^2(s+1)}} = \frac{K(10-s)}{(s-1)^2(s+1) + K(10-s)}$$

the denominator of $W_{CL}(s)$:

$$\begin{aligned} d_{CL}(s) &= (s-1)^2(s+1) + K(10-s) = s^3 - s^2 - s + 1 + K10 - Ks \\ &= s^3 - s^2 - (K+1)s + 10K + 1 \end{aligned}$$

NYQUIST ANALYSIS

Let N be the number of times that the Nyquist plot of $W(j\omega)$ encircles the -1 point in the counterclockwise direction. From the plot it is clear that

- For $K > 0$ we have $N = 0$ (the Nyquist plot does not encircle the point -1);
- For $K \in (-0.1, 0)$ we have $N = 0$ (the Nyquist plot does not encircle the point -1);
- For $K < -0.1$ we have $N = 1$ (the Nyquist plot encircles one time the point -1 in the counterclockwise direction);

(Note that for $K = -0.1$ the denominator of $W_{CL}(s)$ is 0 for $s = 0$, and thus $s = 0$ is a pole of $W_{CL}(s)$. Thus, when K moves from the interval $(-0.1, 0)$, where $p_{CL} = 2$, to the interval $(-\infty, -0.1)$, where $p_{CL} = 1$, a pole with positive real part becomes a pole with negative real part. Thus, we have that for $K = -0.1$ $W_{CL}(s)$ has one positive pole and one in zero (the third one is negative).)

Recall the Nyquist formula in the form

$$p_{CL} = p_{OL} - N$$

where, p_{CL} is the number of poles with positive real part of the Closed Loop (CL) system, and p_{OL} is the number of poles with positive real part of the Open Loop (OL) system. Since for the given $W(s)$ we have $p_{OL} = 2$ (the term $(s - 1)^2$ in the denominator of $W(s)$ represent a double pole in 1), we have $p_{CL} = 2 - N$, and therefore

- For $K \in (-0.1, +\infty)$ we have $p_{CL} = 2$;
- For $K \in (-\infty, -0.1]$ we have $p_{CL} = 1$.

In both cases, the closed loop system is unstable.

ROUTH ANALYSIS

The characteristic polynomial of the closed-loop system is the denominator of $W_{CL}(s)$:

$$d_{CL}(s) = s^3 - s^2 - (K + 1)s + 10K + 1.$$

The first two rows (rows 3 and 2) of the Routh table are:

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} 3 & 1 & -(K + 1) \\ 2 & -1 & 10K + 1 \end{array}$$

The computation of the element in the third row (row 1) is

$$a_{1,1} = \frac{1}{-(-1)} \left| \begin{array}{cc} 1 & -(K + 1) \\ -1 & 10K + 1 \end{array} \right| = 10K + 1 - (K + 1) = 9K$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{array}{c|ccc} 3 & 1 & -(K + 1) & \\ 2 & -1 & 10K + 1 & \\ 1 & 9K & & \\ 0 & 10K + 1 & & \end{array}$$

Analyzing the signs of the first column we have:

- For $K \in (0, +\infty)$ we have two sign variations ($3 \rightarrow 2$ and $2 \rightarrow 1$) so that $p_{CL} = 2$;
- For $K \in (-0.1, 0)$ we have two sign variations ($3 \rightarrow 2$ and $1 \rightarrow 0$) so that $p_{CL} = 2$;
- For $K \in (-\infty, -0.1)$ we have one sign variation ($3 \rightarrow 2$ and $1 \rightarrow 0$) so that $p_{CL} = 1$.

In all cases we have the instability of the closed loop system.

These results coincide with those obtained using the Nyquist analysis.

Problem 5. Given the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1(t) = (x_1(t) - 1)(-\alpha^2 + 2(x_2(t) - 1)) \\ \dot{x}_2(t) = (\alpha - 1)(x_2(t) - 1) - (x_1(t) - 1)^2 \end{cases}$$

study the stability of the equilibrium point $x_e = (1, 1)$ for all the values of the parameter $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$, using the method of linear approximation at the equilibrium point, and the Lyapunov method (*Suggestion for the Lyapunov function: $V(x) = (x_1 - x_{e,1})^2 + \beta(x_2 - x_{e,2})^2$, with suitable $\beta > 0$.*)

Solution of problem 5.

The system considered is of the form $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t); \alpha)$, where $x(t)$ is the state and α is a constant parameter. The vector function $f(x; \alpha)$ is as follows

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x; \alpha) = (x_1 - 1)(-\alpha^2 + 2(x_2 - 1)) \\ f_2(x; \alpha) = (\alpha - 1)(x_2 - 1) - (x_1 - 1)^2 \end{cases}$$

The Jacobian computed at $x_e = (1, 1)$ is

$$J(x_e) = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{x_1} f_1 & \partial_{x_2} f_1 \\ \partial_{x_1} f_2 & \partial_{x_2} f_2 \end{bmatrix}_{(1,1)} = \begin{bmatrix} -\alpha^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $J(x_e)$ is diagonal, the two eigenvalues are the diagonal terms:

$$\lambda_1 = -\alpha^2, \quad \lambda_2 = \alpha - 1$$

From this we conclude:

- x_e is asymptotically stable when *both* eigenvalues are strictly negative, i.e. when $\alpha < 1$ and $\alpha \neq 0$;
- x_e is unstable when *at least one* eigenvalue is strictly positive i.e. when $\alpha > 1$.

There are two cases where we can not conclude anything about the stability of x_e : when $\alpha = 0$ and when $\alpha = 1$ (in these cases the origin is a simply stable equilibrium point *of the linear approximation* of the nonlinear system, but nothing can be concluded about the nonlinear system).

In these case we must study the stability of the point $x_e = (1, 1)$ by using a suitable Lyapunov function.

Following the suggestion we consider the Lyapunov function

$$V(x) = (x_1 - 1)^2 + \beta(x_2 + 1)^2,$$

which is positive definite for any $\beta > 0$. According to the Lyapunov theorem, if the derivative $\dot{V}(x) = (dV/dx)f(x; \alpha)$ is semidefinite negative, then the equilibrium x_e is (simply) stable, while if $\dot{V}(x)$ is definite negative, then the equilibrium is asymptotically stable. The computation of $\dot{V}(x)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(x) &= \frac{dV}{dx}f(x; \alpha) = (\partial_{x_1} V)f_1(x; \alpha) + (\partial_{x_2} V)f_2(x; \alpha) = 2(x_1 - 1)f_1(x; \alpha) + 2\beta(x_2 - 1)f_2(x; \alpha) \\ &= 2(x_1 - 1)(x_1 - 1)(-\alpha^2 + 2(x_2 - 1)) + 2\beta(x_2 - 1)((\alpha - 1)(x_2 - 1) - (x_1 - 1)^2) \\ &= -2\alpha^2(x_1 - 1)^2 + 4(x_1 - 1)^2(x_2 - 1) + 2\beta(\alpha - 1)(x_2 - 1)^2 - 2\beta(x_2 - 1)(x_1 - 1)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\dot{V}(x_e) = 0$, as expected. We must check the sign of $\dot{V}(x)$ in the two situations of $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$.

Note that in both cases, in the expression of $\dot{V}(x)$ we have two terms that are sign-indefinite:

$$4(x_1 - 1)^2(x_2 - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad -2\beta(x_2 - 1)(x_1 - 1)^2$$

By choosing $\beta = 2$ these terms can be cancelled, thus we have

$$\dot{V}(x) = -2\alpha^2(x_1 - 1)^2 + 2\beta(\alpha - 1)(x_2 - 1)^2.$$

Thus, for $\alpha = 0$ we have

$$\dot{V}(x) = -2\beta(x_2 - 1)^2 \leq 0,$$

and for $\alpha = 1$ we have

$$\dot{V}(x) = -2(x_1 - 1)^2 \leq 0.$$

In both cases the derivative of the Lyapunov function is semidefinite negative (it is never positive, but is zero not only at the equilibrium point $x_e = (1, 1)$). As a consequence, when $\alpha = 0$ and $\alpha = 1$ the equilibrium point is *simply* stable (stable, but not asymptotically stable).