TEORIA DEI SISTEMI (Systems Theory)

Prof. C. Manes, Prof. A. Germani

Some solutions of the written exam of February 10th, 2014

Problem 1. Consider a feedback control system with unit feedback gain, with the following transfer function in open-loop

$$W(s) = K \frac{18}{s(s-1)(s-9)}$$

- 1. Draw the amplitude and phase Bode diagrams, and the polar diagram for K = 1;
- 2. Compute the denominator of the closed-loop transfer function;
- 3. Compute the number of poles with negative real part of the closed loop transfer function as a function of the gain $K \in (-\infty, \infty)$, using both the Nyquist criterion and the Routh criterion.

Solution of problem 1.

Let W(s) denote W(s) for K = 1:

$$\widetilde{W}(s) = \frac{18}{s(s-1)(s-9)}$$

We consider the Bode plots and the polar plot of $\widetilde{W}(s)$. In Bode form we have

$$W(s) = K \widetilde{W}(s) = K \frac{2}{s(1-s)\left(1-\frac{s}{9}\right)}$$

The low frequency gain is

$$K_0 = \lim_{s \to 0} s \widetilde{W}(s) = 2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |K_0|_{dB} = 20 \log_{10} |K_0| = 20 \log_{10} 2 = 6 \text{ dB}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} |\widetilde{W}(j\omega)| &= \frac{2}{\omega|1 - j\omega| |1 - j\omega/9|} \\ \langle \widetilde{W}(j\omega) \rangle &= -\frac{\pi}{2} - \langle 1 - j\omega \rangle - \langle 1 - j\omega/9 \rangle. \end{split}$$

(the Bode plots and the Nyquist plot of the open loop transfer function are in the enclosed file).

The closed-loop transfer function is

$$W_{CL}(s) = \frac{K\widetilde{W}(s)}{1+K\widetilde{W}(s)} = \frac{K\frac{18}{s(s-1)(s-9)}}{1+K\frac{18}{s(s-1)(s-9)}} = \frac{18K}{s(s-1)(s-9)+18K}$$

and the denominator of $W_{CL}(s)$ is:

$$d_{CL}(s) = s^3 - 10\,s^2 + 9\,s + 18\,K$$

NYQUIST ANALYSIS

For the Nyquist analysis it is important to compute the intersections of the graph of $W(j\omega)$ with the real axis. We see from the polar plot that $W(j\omega)$ intersects the real axis at a pair of frequencies $\pm \omega^*$. By writing $\widetilde{W}(j\omega)$ as $\widetilde{W}_r(\omega) + j \widetilde{W}_i(\omega)$, we see that at the intersection it must be $\widetilde{W}_i(\omega) = 0$. Solving $\widetilde{W}_i(\omega) = 0$ we find the roots $\omega^* = \pm 3$, and we see that $\widetilde{W}_r(3) = 0.2$.

Thus, the graph of $W(j\omega)$ intersects the real axis at the point K 0.2 at the frequency $\omega^* = \pm 3$, i.e. W(j 3) = K 0.2.

Let N be the number of times that the Nyquist plot of $W(j\omega)$ encircles the -1 point in the counterclockwise direction. Analyzing the polar the plot and using the conditions K 0.2 > -1 or K 0.2 < -1, it follows that

- For K > 0 we have N = 0: the Nyquist plot does not encircle the point -1;
- For $K \in (-5,0)$ we have N = -1: the Nyquist plot encircles one time the point -1 in the clockwise (negative) direction;

• For K < -5 we have N = 1: the Nyquist plot encircles one time the point -1 in the counterclockwise (positive) direction;

Recall the Nyquist formula in the form

$$p_{CL} = p_{OL} - N$$

where, p_{CL} is the number of poles with positive real part of the Closed Loop (CL) system, and p_{OL} is the number of poles with positive real part of the Open Loop (OL) system. Since for the given W(s) we have $p_{OL} = 2$ ($p_1 = 1$ and $p_2 = 9$), we have $p_{CL} = 2 - N$, and therefore

• For K > 0 we have N = 0, and thus $p_{CL} = 2$ (unstable closed loop system);

1

- For $K \in (-5, 0)$ we have N = -1; and thus $p_{CL} = 3$ (unstable closed loop system);
- For K < -5 we have N = 1, and thus $p_{CL} = 1$ (unstable closed loop system).

Note that for K = -5 the denominator of $W_{CL}(s)$ is 0 for $s = \pm j 3$, and thus $\pm j 3$ is a pair of imaginary poles of $W_{CL}(s)$.

ROUTH ANALYSIS

The case K = 0 will be not analyzed because it corresponds to the trivial case where the open-loop transfer function is zero.

The characteristic polynomial of the closed-loop system is the denominator of $W_{CL}(s)$:

$$d_{CL}(s) = s^3 - 10\,s^2 + 9\,s + 18\,K$$

The first two rows (rows 3 and 2) of the Routh table are:

The computation of the element in the third row (row number 1) gives

$$a_{1,1} = \frac{1}{-(-10)} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 9 \\ -10 & 18 \ K \end{vmatrix} = \frac{18 \ K + 90}{10} = \frac{9}{10} (2 \ K + 10)$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} 3 & 1 & 9 \\ 2 & -10 & 18 \, K \\ 1 & \frac{9}{10} (2 \, K + 10) \\ 0 & 8 \, K \end{array}$$

Analyzing the signs of the first column, and noting that 2K + 10 < 0 is equivalent to K < -5, we have

- For K > 0 we have two sign variation $(3 \rightarrow 2 \text{ and } 2 \rightarrow 1)$, so that $p_{CL} = 2$;
- For -5 < K < 0 we have three sign variations $(3 \rightarrow 2, 2 \rightarrow 1, \text{and } 1 \rightarrow 0)$, so that $p_{CL} = 3$;
- For K < -5 we have one sign variation $(3 \rightarrow 2)$ so that $p_{CL} = 1$.

For the particular case of K = 5, the characteristic polynomial of the closed-loop system is

$$d_{CL}(s) = s^3 - 10\,s^2 + 9\,s - 90$$

and the Routh table terminates at the third row, which is zero

 $\begin{array}{c|cccc} 3 & 1 & 9 \\ 2 & -10 & -90 \\ 1 & 0 & \end{array}$

We know that in this case the polynomial $d_{CL}(s)$ has a pair of conjugate imaginary roots, which are the roots of the polynomial $-10 s^2 - 90$. Their computation gives $p_{1,2} = \pm j 3$. The sign variations of the first column of the table obtained until now, tells the number of roots with positive real part: there is one variation $(3 \rightarrow 2)$ and therefore $p_{OL} = 1$.

These results coincide with those obtained using the Nyquist analysis.

Problem 2. Siano dati due sistemi lineari autonomi (con ingresso nullo), uno a tempo continuo, $\dot{x}_c(t) =$ $Ax_c(t)$, ed uno a tempo-discreto, $x_d(t+1) = Ax_d(t)$, caratterizzati dalla stessa matrice $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$. Gli autovalori λ_k e gli autovettori destri r_k e sinistri ℓ_k della matrice A sono i seguenti:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= 0.5 + j \, 0.5 \\ \lambda_2 &= 0.5 - j \, 0.5 \\ \lambda_3 &= -2 \end{aligned} \qquad r_1 = \begin{bmatrix} j \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} r_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -j \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} r_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \ell_1 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -j & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \ell_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} j & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \ell_3 &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Si calcolino le evoluzioni libere dello stato di entrambi i sistemi $(x_c(t) \in x_d(t))$ a partire dallo stesso stato iniziale $x_c(0) = x_d(0) = [1 \ 0 \ 0]^T$. (Suggerimento: per limitare la quantit \tilde{A} di calcoli occorre sfruttare la decomposizione spettrale delle

matrici di transizione evitandone il calcolo esplicito.)

Problem 3. Given the following discrete-time system, where x(t) and u(t) are scalar variables:

$$x(t+1) = 0.8 x(t) + u(t)$$

- Compute the unit step response of the state (input u(t) = 1 for $t \ge 0$).

- Compute the harmonic response of the state to the input: $u(t) = \cos((\pi/2)t)$.

Solution of problem 3.

The computation of the forced response and of the harmonic response of discrete-time linear systems is preferably made using the Z-transform. Recall that the input-state transfer function of discrete-time linear systems is $H(z) = (z I - A)^{-1}B$, and the impulse response is h(0) = 0 and $h(t) = \sum_{\tau=0}^{t-1} A^{t-\tau-1}B$ for t > 0. In our problem we have A = 0.8 and B = 1, so that

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{z - 0.8}.$$

(Note that for the given problem we could equally consider the output y(t) coinciding with the state x(t), so that y(t) = Cx(t) + Du(t) with C = 1 and D = 0. In this case $W(z) = C(zI - A)^{-1}B = H(z)$.)

Using the Z-transform, the forced state response can be computed by the inverse Z-transform of the product H(z)U(z), where U(z) is the Z-transform of the input. Thus, for computing the unit step response we need the transform of the unit step:

$$U(z) = \frac{z}{z-1}$$

The step response of the state in the Z-transform domain is

$$X(z) = H(z)U(z) = \frac{z}{(z - 0.8)(z - 1)}.$$

In order to exploit the basic Z-transform $Z(a^t) = z/(z-a)$ and inverse transform $Z^{-1}(z/(z-a)) = a^t$, $t \ge 0$, it is useful to compute the partial fraction expansion of X(z)/z instead of X(z):

$$\frac{K(z)}{z} = \frac{1}{(z-0.8)(z-1)} = \frac{R_1}{z-0.8} + \frac{R_2}{z-1}$$

from which

$$X(z) = R_1 \frac{z}{z - 0.8} + R_2 \frac{z}{z - 1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad x(t) = R_1 0.8^t + R_2, \quad t \ge 0.$$

The computation of the *residuals* R_1 and R_2 is as follows

$$R_1 = \lim_{z \to 0.8} (z - 0.8) \frac{X(z)}{z} = \lim_{z \to 0.8} \frac{1}{z - 1} = \frac{1}{0.8 - 1} = -\frac{1}{0.2} = -5$$
$$R_2 = \lim_{z \to 1} (z - 1) \frac{X(z)}{z} = \lim_{z \to 1} \frac{1}{z - 0.8} = \frac{1}{1 - 0.8} = \frac{1}{0.2} = 5$$

and thus the step response of the state is

$$X(z) = (-5)\frac{z}{z - 0.8} + 5\frac{z}{z - 1} \quad \Rightarrow \quad x(t) = 5(1 - 0.8^t), \quad t \ge 0.$$

For the computation of the harmonic response, we recall the general formula: if the generic sinusoidal input is $u(t) = M \cos(\omega t + \varphi)$ and H(z) is the transfer function, then the harmonic response $x_h(t)$ is:

$$x_h(t) = \left| H(e^{j\omega}) \right| M \cos(\omega t + \varphi + \langle H(e^{j\omega}) \rangle).$$

In our problem $u(t) = \cos((\pi/2)t)$, so that M = 1, $\varphi = 0$ and $\omega = \pi/2$. Thus $e^{j\omega} = e^{j\pi/2} = j$, and the harmonic response is

$$x_h(t) = |H(j)| \cos\left((\pi/2)t + \langle H(j)\rangle\right), \text{ where } H(j) = \frac{1}{j - 0.8}.$$

The computation of the magnitude and phase of H(j) is:

$$|H(j)| = \frac{1}{|j-0.8|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+0.64}} = 0.781,$$

$$\langle H(j) \rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{j-0.8} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{-1}{0.8-j} \right\rangle = \langle -1 \rangle - \langle 0.8-j \rangle = \pi - \arctan(-1/0.8) = \pi + \arctan(1/0.8) = 4.0376 \ rad.$$

(equivalently, $\langle -1 \rangle = -\pi$, so that $\langle H(j) \rangle = -\pi + \arctan(1/0.8) = -2.2455 \ rad$)

(equivalently, $\langle -1 \rangle = -\pi$, so that $\langle H(j) \rangle = -\pi + \arctan(1/0.8) = -2.2455 \text{ rad.}$)

Problem 4.

Given the system x(t+1) = Ax(t) + Bu(t), y(t) = Cx(t) with matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -2 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- 1. Find a basis for the space of reachable states, and a basis for the space of unobservable states;
- 2. Find the 4 subspaces $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_3$ and \mathcal{X}_4 of the Kalman structural decomposition;
- 3. Compute an initial state $x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that the output sequence of the unforced system is constant, equal to $y(t) = 5, \forall t \ge 0$.

Solution of problem 4.

The computation of the reachability matrix gives

$$P_3 = \begin{bmatrix} B & AB & A^2B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1\\ -1 & 1 & -1\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{R}(P_3)$ (the range of P_3) is the space of reachable states. Note that the second and third columns of P_3 are linearly dependent on the first one, so the rank of P_3 is 1, and 1 is the dimension of \mathcal{P} . The first column of P_3 is an admissible basis vector:

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{R}([B \ AB \ A^2B]) = \mathcal{R}(B) = span\left(\begin{bmatrix}1\\-1\\0\end{bmatrix}\right), \qquad v = \begin{bmatrix}1\\-1\\0\end{bmatrix}.$$

The computation of the observability matrix gives

$$Q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ CA^2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

 $Q = \mathcal{N}(Q_3)$ (the null-space of Q_3) is the space of unobservable states. Note that the second and third rows of Q_3 are equal to the first row, so the rank of Q_3 is 1, and 2 is the dimension of Q (2 is the rank drop of Q_3). Thus, we need to find two basis vectors for Q, that is two independent solutions of the homogeneous system $Q_3 b = 0_{3\times 1}$. One solution is easily found because the last column of Q_3 is zero, and thus $Q_3 b_1 = 0_{3\times 1}$ with $b_1 = [0 \ 0 \ 1]^T$. Then, observing that the first two columns of Q_3 are equal, we have the following solution $b_2 = [1 \ -1 \ 0]^T$, which is independent of b_1 . Thus b_1, b_2 make up a basis for Q:

$$\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{bmatrix} C \\ CA \\ CA^2 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \mathcal{N}(C) = span\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right), \qquad b_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{P} = span(v)$ and $\mathcal{Q} = span(b_1, b_2)$, and we are ready to find the four subspaces $\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{X}_2, \mathcal{X}_3$ and \mathcal{X}_4 .

Let's start with $\mathcal{X}_1 = \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{P}$. Being \mathcal{Q} a two-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 , and \mathcal{P} a one-dimensional subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 , the intersections is either 0 or \mathcal{P} itself. Thus, we must only check whether $v \in span(b_1, b_2)$ or not. With the chosen bases this test is immediate, because $v = b_1$, so that $\mathcal{X}_1 = \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P} = span(v)$.

The space \mathcal{X}_2 is any space such that $\mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2 = \mathcal{P}$, and therefore $\mathcal{X}_2 = \emptyset$.

The space \mathcal{X}_3 is any space such that $\mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_3 = \mathcal{Q}$. Since $\mathcal{Q} = span(b_1, b_2)$ and $\mathcal{X}_1 = span(v) = span(b_1)$, we can choose $\mathcal{X}_3 = span(b_2)$.

The space \mathcal{X}_4 is any space such that $\mathcal{X}_1 \oplus \mathcal{X}_2 \oplus \mathcal{X}_3 \oplus \mathcal{X}_4 = \mathbb{R}^3$. Then, we only need to find a vector linearly independent of b, v_1 . Let's choose $\mathcal{X}_4 = span(d)$, with $d = [1 \ 0 \ 0]^T$, such that

$$\operatorname{rank}\left(\begin{bmatrix}b_1 & b_2 & d\end{bmatrix}\right) = \operatorname{rank}\left(\begin{bmatrix}1 & 0 & 1\\-1 & 0 & 0\\0 & 1 & 0\end{bmatrix}\right) = 3, \text{ and then } \begin{cases} \mathcal{X}_1 = \operatorname{span}(b_1), \\ \mathcal{X}_2 = \emptyset, \\ \mathcal{X}_3 = \operatorname{span}(b_2), \\ \mathcal{X}_4 = \operatorname{span}(d). \end{cases}$$

Note that other choices for \mathcal{X}_3 and \mathcal{X}_4 are possible.

Question 4.3:

- Compute an initial state $x(0) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that the output sequence of the unforced system is constant, equal to $y(t) = 5, \forall t \ge 0.$

Answer to Question 4.3:

The output of the unforced system is $y(t) = CA^{t}x(0), t \ge 0$. The Question 4.3 asks to find x(0) such that $CA^t x(0) = 5, \forall t \ge 0.$

Note that for the given pair (A, C) we have $CA^t = C, \forall t \ge 0$ (look at the rows CA and CA^2 of matrix Q_3). It follows that any x(0) such that Cx(0) = 5 is such that $CA^t x(0) = 5$, $\forall t \ge 0$. For instance, we can choose $x(0) = [5 \ 0 \ 0]^T$.

Problem 5. Given the system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1(t) = -x_1^3(t) (x_2(t) + 1)^2 + \alpha x_1(t) \\ \dot{x}_2(t) = (x_2(t) + 1) (\alpha - 1 - x_1^2(t)) \end{cases}$$

study the stability of the equilibrium point $x_e = (0, -1)$ for all the values of the parameter $\alpha \in (-\infty, \infty)$, using the method of linear approximation at the equilibrium point, and the Lyapunov function method (Suggestion for the Lyapunov function: $V(x) = (x_1 - x_{e,1})^2 + \beta(x_2 - x_{e,2})^2$, with suitable $\beta > 0$.)

Solution of problem 5.

The system considered is of the form $\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t); \alpha)$, where x(t) is the state and α is a constant parameter. The vector function $f(x; \alpha)$ is as follows

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x;\alpha) = -x_1^3(x_2+1)^2 + \alpha x_1 \\ f_2(x;\alpha) = (x_2+1)(\alpha - 1 - x_1^2) \end{cases}$$

The Jacobian is

$$J(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \partial_{x_1} f_1 & \partial_{x_2} f_1 \\ \partial_{x_1} f_2 & \partial_{x_2} f_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -3 x_1 (x_2 + 1)^2 + \alpha & -x_1^3 2 (x_2 + 1) \\ -2x_1 (x_2 + 1) & \alpha - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The Jacobian computed at $x_e = (0, -1)$ is

$$J(x_e) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & 0\\ 0 & \alpha - 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $J(x_e)$ is diagonal, the two eigenvalues are the diagonal terms: $\lambda_1 = \alpha$, $\lambda_2 = \alpha - 1$. Thus:

- (0, -1) is asymptotically stable when *both* eigenvalues are strictly negative, that is when $(\alpha < 0)$ and $(\alpha - 1 < 0)$. That means that (0, -1) is asymptotically stable for $\alpha < 0$;
- (0, -1) is unstable when at least one eigenvalue is strictly positive i.e. when $(\alpha < 0)$ or $(\alpha 1 > 0)$. That means that (0, -1) is unstable for $\alpha > 0$.

The only case where we can not conclude anything about the stability of (0, -1) is when $\alpha = 0$ (in this case the origin is a simply stable equilibrium point of the linear approximation of the nonlinear system, but nothing can be concluded about the nonlinear system). In this case we must study the stability of the point $x_e = (0, -1)$ by using a suitable Lyapunov function.

For $\alpha = 1$ the function $f(x; \alpha)$ is

$$\begin{cases} f_1(x;0) = -x_1^3(x_2+1)^2 \\ f_2(x;0) = -(x_2+1)(1+x_1^2) \end{cases}$$

Following the suggestion we consider the Lyapunov function

$$V(x) = x_1^2 + \beta (x_2 + 1)^2,$$

which is positive definite for any $\beta > 0$. According to the Lyapunov theorem, if the derivative $\dot{V}(x) = (dV/dx)f(x;1)$ is semidefinite negative, then the equilibrium x_e is (simply) stable, while if $\dot{V}(x)$ is definite negative, then the equilibrium is asymptotically stable. The computation of $\dot{V}(x)$ gives

$$\dot{V}(x) = \frac{dV}{dx}f(x;0) = (\partial_{x_1}V)f_1(x;0) + (\partial_{x_2}V)f_2(x;0) = 2x_1f_1(x;0) + 2\beta x_2f_2(x;0)$$
$$= -2x_1^4(x_2+1)^2 - 2\beta (x_2+1)^2(x_1^2+1).$$

For any $\beta > 0$, V(x) is semidefinite negative (it is never positive, but it is zero for any pair $(x_1, -1)$, and not only at the equilibrium point $x_e = (0, -1)$). As a consequence, when $\alpha = 0$ the equilibrium (0, -1)is simply stable (stable, but not asymptotically stable).